

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,573	06/15/2005	Vickram R Vathulya	PHUS020604	9444
24737	7590 09/29/2006	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INT	TELLECTUAL PROF	LE, DINH THANH		
P.O. BOX 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				TATER NOMBER
			2816	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
- Office Action Summary		10/538,573	VATHULYA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DINH T. LE	2816			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	• •					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/15/05</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/538,573

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

The Abstract is objected to because it is not a single paragraph being contained in a

separate sheet as required. Correction is required.

Specification

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of

Page 2

all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Correction or clarification is required.

In claim 1, the description of the present invention is incomplete because the cell and the

resistor are not connected to anything and the claimed mixer does not have an input. Thus, the

claimed mixer may not perform the recited function. Also, it is unclear how the resistor can

apply a differential loading.

In claim 6, it is unclear how the cell and the resistor can be "operated". The same is true

for claims 7-8.

The remaining claims are dependent from the above rejected claims and therefore also

considered indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,573 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Tanji et al (US

6,943,618).

Tanji et al discloses in Figure 5 a circuit comprising:

- a Gilbert cell (400);

- a resistor (432, 434);

- a first resistor (428), a second resistor (430); and

- a first current source (492) and a second current source (494).

However, Tanji et al does not disclose that the resistors are the polysilicon resistors. As

well known in the art, the resistor includes many types, i.e., low tolerance resistor or negative

coefficient resistor, and selecting the resistor for a particular environment or the particular

application in which the circuit of Tanji et al is to be used is considered to be a matter of a design

expedient for an engineer. Lacking of showing any criticality, it would have been obvious to a

person having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the polysilicon resistor

as claimed for the purpose of accommodating with the requirement of a predetermined system.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2816

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DINH T. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-1745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8AM-7PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY CALLAHAN can be reached at (571) 272-1740.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9/26/06